guiados por los trastornos de ansiedad y los trastornos depresivos.

Del total de menores que recibieron atención, más de la mitad fue incluida al programa de intervención psicoterapéutica, menos de una cuarta parte requirió seguimiento en consulta externa y solamente un caso requirió hospitalización.

A pesar de que México es un país con alta actividad sísmica, son pocos los estudios que han propuesto estrategias de contingencia derivadas de la experiencia de sismos pasados. Consideramos prioridad, para todas las instituciones de nuestro país, que se elaboren guías o manuales clínicos de intervención en crisis para futuros casos de desastre.
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Response to “New tobacco products, a threat for tobacco control and public health of Mexico”

Dear Editor: The position article by Reynales-Shigematsu and colleagues(1) on the public health impact in Mexico of combustion-free electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS) fails to present a balanced overview of the risk-benefit ratio of these new technologies, grossly misrepresents the existing evidence, and ignores the broad consensus that these products are much less harmful than cigarettes.2,4

The work cited by the authors (references 8-21) on exposure risks from e-cigarette aerosol emissions report misleading results that do not reflect normal conditions of use.5 The authors claim that trial of e-cigarettes is propitiating tobacco initiation among Mexican adolescents, citing a longitudinal cohort study on Mexican high school students (reference 31) which actually disproves this claim, as it reported that the association between e-cigarette trial at baseline and past 30 day smoking at follow-up was not even statistically significant.5 The authors dismiss the utility of e-cigarettes in smoking cessation, but their cited references do not support this claim.5 Further, a recent high quality randomized controlled trial(6) has shown e-cigarettes to be twice as effective in smoking cessation compared to nicotine replacement therapies. A detailed critique of the position article is available.5

Following the authors, ENDS can only be part of a harm reduction strategy for Mexico if they immediately promote total smoking abstinence, as well as complete absence of dual usage and recruitment of non-smokers.7 However, these are maximalist and unrealistic conditions that no new harm reduction product can fulfill. A more realistic approach to harm reduction yields concrete benefits: the recreational usage of e-cigarettes, endorsed by health institutions in the United Kingdom under a consistent Tobacco Control strategy, has contributed to a significant decay of smoking prevalence with negligible usage by non-smokers of all ages.2,3

By presenting ENDS as a threat to public health (consequently recommending their regulation as combustible tobacco products), Reynales-Shigematsu and colleagues are depriving 15 million Mexican smokers of key information on a plausible harm reduction alternative that can vastly improve their health. As an unintended consequence, this misinformation will keep them smoking.
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